

CFJ-16 (Major Object and rokuna NP)

CFJ-16-A: The questionnaire sheet

次の文が良いか悪いか、それぞれ5段階で評価してください。(2),(3)で、「そいつ」がある場合とない場合とで判断が異なる場合には、どちらでも良いほうの点数を記入してもらって、コメント欄にひとこと添えておいていただけると幸いです。

注：文中にある「ec」とは、言語学において使われる書き方で、その部分で発音される単語がないことを示します。たとえば、(2a)の場合、「...ろくな男をそいつが彼女の...」という文と「...ろくな男を彼女の...」という文の両方を考えていただき、良いほうの場合の判断を書き入れていただくことになります。

How acceptable or unacceptable are the following examples? If you get a significant difference between the *soitu* version and the *ec* version in (2) and (3), please report your judgment on the more acceptable version of the two (and please make a brief note in the Comment).

To Non-Linguists: *ec* stands for "empty category" in the jargon in Linguistics and it basically means that nothing is pronounced in the position of *ec*. So, (2a), for example, combines (i) ... *rokuna otoko-o soitu-ga kanozyo-no* ... and (ii) ... *rokuna otoko-o kanozyo-no*

CFJ-16		bad ← → good	保留
(1a)	(Kimutaku-ga iru koto-o siru made-wa) Hanako-wa [rokuna otoko-ga kanozyo-no kurasu-ni inai to] omotte ita. (キムタクがいることを知るまでは) 花子は [ろくな男が彼女のクラスにいないと]思っていた。	0 0 0 0 0	0
(1b)	(Kimutaku-ga iru koto-o siru made-wa) Hanako-wa rokuna otoko-o kanozyo-no kurasu-ni inai to omotte ita. (キムタクがいることを知るまでは) 花子は ろくな男を彼女のクラスにいないと思っていた。	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2a)	Hanako-ga rokuna otoko-o [{soitu-ga/ec} kanozyo-no kekkon aite-ni husawasii to] omowanakatta (no wa doo site ka naa.) 花子がろくな男を[{そいつが/ec} 彼女の結婚相手にふさわしいと]思わなかった(のはどうしてかなあ)。	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2b)	Hanako-ga rokuna otoko-o [{soitu-ga/ec} kanozyo-no kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to] omotteita (no wa doo site ka naa.) 花子がろくな男を[{そいつが/ec} 彼女の結婚相手にふさわしくないと]思っていた(のはどうしてかなあ)。	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3a)	rokuna otoko-ga [Hanako-ga soitu-no kekkon aite-ni husawasii to] omowanakatta (koto) ろくな男が[花子がそいつの結婚相手にふさわしいと]思わなかった(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3b)	rokuna otoko-ga [Hanako-ga soitu-no kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to] omotteita (koto) ろくな男が[花子がそいつの結婚相手にふさわしくないと]思っていた(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3'a)	Rokuna otoko-ga [Hanako-ga kekkon aite-ni husawasii to] omowanakatta rasii. ろくな男が[花子が結婚相手にふさわしいと]思わなかったらしい。	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3'b)	Rokuna otoko-ga [Hanako-ga kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to] omotteita rasii. ろくな男が[花子が結婚相手にふさわしくないと]思っていたらしい。	0 0 0 0 0	0

コメント(省略可):

Comments (if any):

➤ The examples in CFJ-16 above are repeated below, with gloss and translations.

- (1) a. (Kimutaku-ga iru koto-o siru made-wa)
 Kimutaku-NOM is fact- ACC recognize until-TOP
 Hanako-wa [*rokuna otoko-ga kanozyo-no kurasu-ni inai to*] omotte ita.
 Hanako-TOP good man-NOM her-GEN class-in be:NEG that thinking was
 'Roughly: (Until she recognized that Kimutaku was in her class) Hanako had thought that no good man was in her class.'
- b. (Kimutaku-ga iru koto-o siru made-wa)
 Kimutaku-NOM is fact- ACC recognize until-TOP
 Hanako-wa *rokuna otoko-o kanozyo-no kurasu-ni inai to* omotte ita.
 Hanako-TOP good man-ACC her-GEN class-in be: NEG that thinking was
 'Roughly: (Until she recognized that Kimutaku was in her class) Hanako had thought about no good man that he was in her class.'
- (2) a. Hanako-ga *rokuna otoko-o* [{soitu-ga/ec} kanozyo-no kekkon aite-ni husawasii to]
 Hanako-NOM good man-ACC he-NOM her-GEN marriage partner- DAT suitable that
 omowanakatta (no wa doo site ka naa.)
 think: NEG:past f.n-TOP why Q
 'Roughly: (I wonder why) Hanako thought about no good man that he was suitable for her marriage partner.'
- b. Hanako-ga *rokuna otoko-o* [{soitu-ga/ec} kanozyo-no kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to]
 Hanako-NOM good man-ACC he-NOM her-GEN marriage partner- DAT suitable: NEG that
 omotteita (no wa doo site ka naa.)
 think:past f.n-TOP why Q
 'Roughly: (I wondering why) Hanako thought about no good man that he was not suitable for her marriage partner.'
- (3) a. *rokuna otoko-ga* [Hanako-ga soitu-no kekkon aite-ni husawasii to] omowanakatta (koto)
 good man-NOM Hanako-NOM his-GEN marriage partner-DAT suitable that think:NEG:past (fact)
 'Roughly: (the fact that) no good man thought that Hanako was suitable for his marriage partner.'
- b. *rokuna otoko-ga* [Hanako-ga soitu-no kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to] omotteita (koto)
 good man-NOM Hanako-NOM his-GEN marriage partner-DAT suitable:NEG that think:past (fact)
 'Roughly: (the fact that) no good man thought that Hanako was not suitable for his marriage partner.'
- (3)' a. *Rokuna otoko-ga* [Hanako-ga kekkon aite-ni husawasii to] omowanakatta rasii.
 good man-NOM Hanako-NOM marriage partner-DAT suitable that think:NEG:past (seems)
 'Roughly: (it seems that) no good man thought that Hanako was suitable as a marriage partner.'
- b. *rokuna otoko-ga* [Hanako-ga kekkon aite-ni husawasikunai to] omotteita (koto)
 good man-NOM Hanako-NOM marriage partner-DAT suitable:NEG that think:past (seems)
 'Roughly: (it seems that) no good man thought that Hanako was not suitable as a marriage partner.'

CFJ-16-B: The results [without adjustments]

Predicted value		-2		-2		-2		-2
	(1a)	(1b)	(2a)	(2b)	(3a)	(3b)	(3'a)	(3'b)
Average	+1.83	-1.72	+0.81	-1.70	+1.00	-1.74	+1.26	-1.78
YT0001	2	-2	2	-2	1	-2	1	-2
DT0002	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
KK0003	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
YM0004	2	-2	2	-2	1	-2	2	-2
MI0005	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
AU0006	2	-2	1	-2	1	-2	2	-2
EM0007	2	-2	1	-2	1	-2	2	-2
YM0008	2	-2		-2	2	-2	2	-2
YK0009	2	-1	-1	-2	1	-2	1	-2
MT0010	2	-2	1	-1	0	-1	1	-1
KS0011	0	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
SW0012	1	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	0	-2
MU0013	2	-2						
YT0014	2	-2	1	-2	1	-2	1	-2
EY0015	1	-2	-1	-2	0	-1	-1	-2
KM0016	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
TK0017	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1
SM0018	2	-1	1	-1	2	-1	2	-1
TK0019	2	-2	1	-1	0	-2	1	-2
TI0020	2	-1	-2	-1	2	-2	2	-2
JH0021	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
KN0022	2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2
KK0023	2	-1	1	0	-1	0	0	1
TF0024	2	-2	1	-2	2	-2	2	-2
DB0025	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
AK0026	2	-2	1	-2	2	-1	2	-2
YN0027	1	-1						
YH0028	2	0	1	-2	1	-2	2	-2
KS0029	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2

CFJ-16-C: The results [with adjustments]

adjustment conditions:

- [1] If [the answer of (1a)] < 2, then [the answer of (1a)] and [the answer of (1b)] will be excluded from the average.
- [2] If [the answer of (2a)] < 2, then [the answer of (2a)] and [the answer of (2b)] will be excluded from the average.
- [3] If [the answer of (3a)] < 2, then [the answer of (3a)] and [the answer of (3b)] will be excluded from the average.
- [4] If [the answer of (3'a)] < 2, then [the answer of (3'a)] and [the answer of (3'b)] will be excluded from the average.

Predicted value		-2		-2		-2		-2
	(1a)	(1b)	(2a)	(2b)	(3a)	(3b)	(3'a)	(3'b)
Average	+2.00	-1.72	+2.00	-1.90	+2.00	-1.77	+2.00	-1.88
YT0001	2	-2	2	-2				
DT0002	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
KK0003	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
YM0004	2	-2	2	-2			2	-2
MI0005	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
AU0006	2	-2					2	-2
EM0007	2	-2					2	-2
YM0008	2	-2			2	-2	2	-2
YK0009	2	-1						
MT0010	2	-2						
KS0011								
SW0012								
MU0013	2	-2						
YT0014	2	-2						
EY0015								
KM0016	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
TK0017	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1	2	-1
SM0018	2	-1			2	-1	2	-1
TK0019	2	-2						
TI0020	2	-1			2	-2	2	-2
JH0021	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
KN0022	2	-2						
KK0023	2	-1						
TF0024	2	-2			2	-2	2	-2
DB0025	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2
AK0026	2	-2			2	-1	2	-2
YN0027								
YH0028	2	0					2	-2
KS0029	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2	2	-2

CFJ-16-D: Comments

CFJ-16	Comments
YT0001	(3a)は前は-1 だったのですが、慣れてきたのでふたつあげました。
KK0003	(2)と(3)は soitu と ec でほとんど違いはないですが、強いて言えば、soitu の方が、judgment の違いが明白のように思います。
MI0005	(2a)- I prefer [ec] to [soitu]. Both sound fine with me, but if I needed to make a distinction, I would mark the second-to-the-best one for (2a) with [soitu].
AU0006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I am not sure if the addition of "(Kimutaku-ga iru koto-o siru made-wa)" in (1) helps the reader understand the intended meaning, since (i) Kimutaku is usually a person in TV, and (ii) if he IS in her class, it is unlikely that she does not know of it. How about something like "Taro-to tukiaihazimeru made-wa"? • Are "kanozoyo-no" in (1),(2) and "soitu-no" in (3) necessary? They do not badly affect the judgment, but I would like it without them. • I would like (3a) better if "omowanakatta" is changed to "omowanakatta rasii."
EM0007	I had given '0' for (sa) before, but I changed it to '1' since it sounded much better this morning.
MT0010	(1 b) は、「男を」と「を」が限りなくだめなような気がします。 (2 a) で、「そいつが」を読んで文の意味がわかったので、OKかなと思いましたが、意味がわかった後は、コンテキストがあって話している本人は e c の方の文を言うのではないかと思いました。
KS0011	Most of the sentences sound quite bad to me. (1a) sounds perfect if kanojo does not refer to Hanako, but the interpretation where kanojo coindexes with Hanako sounds awkward to me. In such cases, I would use zibun. Kyoko
MU0013	It is really hard to judge these sentences unless they are written in Japanese characters.
KM0016	「ろくな男」が否定の作用域に入っていない(3b), (3b')では容認されないと思います。
SM0018	・(2)では「そいつが」を入れた場合と、ec の場合で明らかな違いがあるような気がしませんでした。ec の場合と「そいつが」が入った場合とで容認度に多少のばらつきは感じるのですが、場合場合で ec の方がいいと感じたり、「そいつが」が入ったほうがいいと感じたりと、一定していませんでした。
TK0019	(2a); no significant difference between the two expressions (2b); "ec" is better.
KN0022	(2a)ec version の方が容認度が高い (2b)soitu version の方が容認度が高い
AK0026	(2a)は ec のほうが「そいつ」に比べて良いように思いました(「そいつ」でももちろん acceptable ですが。) また、(2a)は「今までに」や「結婚相談所のリストの中で」のような表現をつけると perfect だと思います。 (3b)はかなり悪いのですが、なんとなく救える方法があるような気がしたので「- 1」にマークしました。今のところ具体的にどうとは言えないのですが、思いついたら書きます。

CFJ-40 (Major Object and NP-cm-sika)

CFJ-40-A: The questionnaire sheet

How (un)acceptable do you find the following sentences?

CFJ-40		bad ← → good	保留
(1a)	メアリーがビルにしかジョンがNYに行ったと言わなかった(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni sika [John-ga NY-ni itta to] iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(1b)	メアリーがビルにしかジョンがNYに行かなかったと言った(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni sika [John-ga NY-ni ikanakatta to] itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(1c)	メアリーがビルにジョンがNYにしか行かなかったと言った(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika ikanakatta to] itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(1d)	メアリーがビルにジョンがNYにしか行ったと言わなかった(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika itta to] iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2a)	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策をしかそれが建国の精神に反していると言わなかった(こと) John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2b)	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策をしか建国の精神に反していると言わなかった(こと) John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3a)	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策をしかそれが建国の精神に反していないと言った(こと) John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika sore-ga kenkoku-no seisin-ni hansiteinai to itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3b)	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策をしか建国の精神に反していないと言った(こと) John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni hansiteinai to itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(4a)	メアリーがビルにしかジョンがNYにスーザンを連れて行ったと言わなかった(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni Susan-o turete itta to] iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(4b)	メアリーがビルにしかジョンがNYにスーザンを連れて行かなかったと言った(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni Susan-o turete ikanakatta to] itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(4c)	メアリーがビルにジョンがNYにしかスーザンを連れて行かなかったと言った(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika Susan-o turete ikanakatta to] itta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(4d)	メアリーがビルにジョンがNYにしかスーザンを連れて行ったと言わなかった(こと) Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika Susan-o turete itta to] iwanakatta (koto)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2a')	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策についてしかそれが建国の精神に反していると言わなかった(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(2b')	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策についてしか建国の精神に反していると言わなかった(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3a')	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策についてしかそれが建国の精神に反していないと言った(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0
(3b')	ジョンがブッシュのイラク政策についてしか建国の精神に反していないと言った(こと)	0 0 0 0 0	0

コメント(省略可):

Comments (if any):

➤ The examples in CFJ-40 above are repeated below, with gloss and translations.

- (1) a. Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni itta to] iwanakatta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT-all:but John-NOM NY-to went that say:not:past (fact)
 '(the fact that) Mary did not say to anyone except Bill that John had gone to NY'
- b. Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni ikanakatta to] itta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT-all:but John-NOM NY-to go:not:past that say:past (fact)
 (Intended reading??) '(the fact that) Mary said to anyone except Bill that John had not gone to NY'
- c. Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika ikanakatta to] itta (koto)
- d. Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika itta to] iwanakatta (koto)
- (2) a. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika sore-ga kenkoku-no seisin-ni
 John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-ACC-all:but it-NOM national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT
 hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)
 is:against that say:not:past (fact)
 '(the fact that) John did not say about anything else but Bush's Iraq policy that it is against the spirit of the country's founders'
- b. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni
 John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-ACC-all:but national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT
 hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)
 is:against that say:not:past (fact)
 '(the fact that) John did not say about anything else but Bush's Iraq policy that it is against the spirit of the country's founders'
- (3) a. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika sore-ga kenkoku-no seisin-ni
 John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-ACC-all:but it-NOM national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT
 hansiteinai to itta (koto)
 is:against:that not that say:past (fact)
 (Intended reading??) '(the fact that) John said about anything else but Bush's Iraq policy that it is not against the spirit of foundation of a country.'
- b. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-o-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni
 John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-ACC-all:but national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT
 hansiteinai to itta (koto)
 is:against:that not that say:past (fact)
 (Intended reading??) '(the fact that) John said about anything else but Bush's Iraq policy that it is not against the spirit of foundation of a country.'
- (4) a. Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni Susan-o turete itta to] iwanakatta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT-all:but John-NOM NY-DAT Susan-ACC take go:past that say:not:past (fact)
 '(the fact that) Mary did not say to anyone except Bill that John had taken Susan to NY'
- b. Mary-ga Bill-ni-sika [John-ga NY-ni Susan-o turete ikanakatta to] itta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT-all:but John-NOM NY-DAT Susan-ACC take go:not:past that say:past (fact)
 (Intended reading??) '(the fact that) Mary said to anyone except Bill that John had not taken Susan to NY'
- c. Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika Susan-o turete ikanakatta to] itta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT John-NOM NY-DAT-all:but Susan-ACC take go:not:past that say:past (fact)
 '(the fact that) Mary said to Bill that John had not taken Susan to anywhere but NY'
- d. Mary-ga Bill-ni [John-ga NY-ni-sika Susan-o turete itta to] iwanakatta (koto)
 Mary-NOM Bill-DAT John-NOM NY-DAT-all:but Susan-ACC take go:past say:not:past (fact)
 (Intended reading??) '(the fact that) Mary did not say to Bill that John had taken Susan to anywhere but NY'
- (2)' a. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-ni-tuite-sika sore-ga kenkoku-no seisin-ni
 John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-DAT-about-all:but it-NOM national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT

hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)
is:against that say:not:past (fact)

'(the fact that) John did not say about anything else except Bush's Iraq policy that it is against the spirit of the country's founders'

- b. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-ni-tuite-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni
John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-DAT-about-all:but national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT

hansiteiru to iwanakatta (koto)
is:against that say:not:past (fact)

'(the fact that) John did not say about anything else except Bush's Iraq policy that it is against the spirit of the country's founders'

- (3)' a. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-ni-tuite-sika sore-ga kenkoku-no seisin-ni
John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-DAT-about-all:but it-NOM national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT

hansiteinai to itta (koto)
is:against:not say:past (fact)

(Intended reading??) '(the fact that) John said about anything else except Bush's Iraq policy that it is not against the spirit of the country's founders'

- b. John-ga Bush-no Iraku seisaku-ni-tuite-sika kenkoku-no seisin-ni hansiteinai to
John-NOM Bush-GEN Iraq policy-DAT-about-all:but national:foundation-GEN spirit-DAT is:against:not

itta (koto)
say:past (fact)

(Intended reading??) '(the fact that) John said only about Bush's Iraq policy that it is not against the spirit of the country's founders'

CFJ-40-B: The results [without adjustments]

	(1a)	(1b)	(1c)	(1d)	(2a)	(2b)	(3a)	(3b)
Average	+1.95	-1.20	+1.90	-1.30	+0.55	+0.55	-1.84	-1.58
YI0001	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
EM0002	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
YT0003	2	-2	2	-2	1	0	-2	0
DT0004	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
YT0005	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
KK0006	2	-2	2	-2	1	1	-2	-2
MI0007	2	-2	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1
KN0008	2	2	2	-2	-1	0	-2	-2
NK0009	2	2	2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1
KK0010	1	-1	0	-2	-2	-1	-1	0
TF0011	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
TK0012	2	-2	2	-2	1	1		
AU0013	2	-2	2	1	2	2	-2	-2
DB0014	2	-2	2	2	2	2	-2	-2
AK0015	2	-2	2	-1	0	1	-2	-2
YM0016	2	2	2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
YH0017	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-1
KM0018	2	-2	2	-2	1	1	-2	-2
KS0019	2	-2	2	-2	1	-1	-2	-2
SM0020	2	1	2	-2	0	0	-1	-1

	(4a)	(4b)	(4c)	(4d)	(2a')	(2b')	(3a')	(3b')
Average	+1.89	-1.53	+1.95	-1.32	+1.53	+1.56	-1.37	-1.16
YI0001	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
EM0002	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
YT0003	1	-2	2	-2	0	1	-2	0
DT0004	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
YT0005	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
KK0006	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
MI0007	2	-2	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2
KN0008	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	0	0
NK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	2
KK0010	1	-1	1	-1	0	-1	1	2
TF0011	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
TK0012								
AU0013	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
DB0014	2	-2	2	2	2	2	-2	-2
AK0015	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
YM0016	2	-1	2	-2	0	2	-2	-2
YH0017	2	-2	2	-2	2		-2	-1
KM0018	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
KS0019	2	-2	2	-2	2	1	-2	-2
SM0020	2	1	2	-2	2	2	1	1

CFJ-40-C: The results [with adjustments]

adjustment conditions:

- [1] If [the answer of (2a)] < 1, then [the answer of (2a)] and [the answer of (3a)] will be excluded from the average.
- [2] If [the answer of (2b)] < 1, then [the answer of (2b)] and [the answer of (3b)] will be excluded from the average.

	(1a)	(1b)	(1c)	(1d)	(2a)	(2b)	(3a)	(3b)
Average	+1.95	-1.20	+1.90	-1.30	+1.38	+1.42	-2.00	-1.91
YI0001	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
EM0002	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
YT0003	2	-2	2	-2	1		-2	
DT0004	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
YT0005	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
KK0006	2	-2	2	-2	1	1	-2	-2
MI0007	2	-2	2	-1				
KN0008	2	2	2	-2				
NK0009	2	2	2	-2				
KK0010	1	-1	0	-2				
TF0011	2	-2	2	-1	1	1	-2	-2
TK0012	2	-2	2	-2	1	1		
AU0013	2	-2	2	1	2	2	-2	-2
DB0014	2	-2	2	2	2	2	-2	-2
AK0015	2	-2	2	-1		1		-2
YM0016	2	2	2	-2				
YH0017	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-1
KM0018	2	-2	2	-2	1	1	-2	-2
KS0019	2	-2	2	-2	1		-2	
SM0020	2	1	2	-2				

	(4a)	(4b)	(4c)	(4d)	(2a')	(2b')	(3a')	(3b')
Average	+1.89	-1.53	+1.95	-1.32	+1.53	+1.56	-1.37	-1.16
YI0001	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
EM0002	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
YT0003	1	-2	2	-2	0	1	-2	0
DT0004	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
YT0005	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
KK0006	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
MI0007	2	-2	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2
KN0008	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	0	0
NK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	2
KK0010	1	-1	1	-1	0	-1	1	2
TF0011	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
TK0012								
AU0013	2	-2	2	0	2	2	-2	-2
DB0014	2	-2	2	2	2	2	-2	-2
AK0015	2	-2	2	-1	2	2	-2	-2
YM0016	2	-1	2	-2	0	2	-2	-2
YH0017	2	-2	2	-2	2		-2	-1
KM0018	2	-2	2	-2	2	2	-2	-2
KS0019	2	-2	2	-2	2	1	-2	-2
SM0020	2	1	2	-2	2	2	1	1

CFJ-40-D: Comments

YT0003	「をしか」が入っている例文も今回はなんとか judgment を出してみましたが、「を」をちゃんと読めているのか自信がありません。「を」を一生懸命読もうとすると気持ち悪さが勝ってしまって、うまく judgment が出ないという感じです。
KK0006	「～をしか」、だいぶ違和感が少なくなってきたように思います。でも「花子がりんごをしか食べない(こと)」はいくらやってもよくはならないように思うので、違う「-o」なのかもしれないですね。
NK0009	長い文は読むのがつらいです。
DB0014	「をしか」はあまり良くない印象ですが、(2a)~(3b)までの判断には、その悪さを入れていません。
SM0020	CFJ-38 の際には(2,3)は全く持って容認できないと感じていたのですが、今回は容認不可能だという判断にはなりませんでしたが(但し、気持ちの悪さは相変わらず残っています)。(2)と(3)の間に違いはありますが、(3)も「絶対に容認不可能!」というレベルの気持ち悪さではありません。また、resumptive の有無は容認性の違いに影響を及ぼさないと感じました。

CFJ-32 (Are PBC effects in the RTO constructions real?) by Yukiko Tsuboi

CFJ-32-A: The questionnaire sheet

CFJ-32	
(1)	keisatu-wa [John-ga tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] danteisita. 警察は[ジョンが中国に逃げたと]断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(2a)	tyuugoku-ni keisatu-wa [John-ga nigeta to] danteisita. 中国に警察は[ジョンが逃げたと]断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(2b)	[John-ga tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] keisatu-wa danteisita. [ジョンが中国に逃げたと]警察は断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(2c)	[John-ga nigeta to] tyuugoku-ni keisatu-wa danteisita. [ジョンが逃げたと]中国に警察は断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(3)	keisatu-wa John-o tyuugoku-ni nigeta-to danteisita. 警察はジョンを中国に逃げたと断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(4a)	John-o keisatu-wa [tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] danteisita. ジョンを警察は[中国に逃げたと]断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(4b)	John-o [tyuugoku-ni to nigeta to] keisatu-wa danteisita. ジョンを[中国に逃げたと]警察は断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(4c)	[tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] John-o keisatu-wa danteisita. [中国に逃げたと]ジョンを警察は断定した。 Intended: 'The police determined that John escaped to China.'
(5)	Yamada-sensei-ga [John-ga Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita(koto) 山田先生が[ジョンがトヨタに就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(6a)	Toyota-ni Yamada-sensei-ga [John-ga syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita(koto) トヨタに山田先生が[ジョンが就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(6b)	[John-ga Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] Yamada-sensei-ga omoikondeita(koto) [ジョンがトヨタに就職したと]山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(6c)	[John-ga syuusyokusita to] Toyota-ni Yamada-sensei-ga omoikondeita(koto) [ジョンが就職したと]トヨタに山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(7)	Yamada-sensei-ga John-o Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to omoikondeita(koto) 山田先生がジョンをトヨタに就職したと思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(8a)	John-o Yamada-sensei-ga [Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita(koto) ジョンを山田先生が[トヨタに就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(8b)	John-o [Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] Yamada-sensei-ga omoikondeita(koto) ジョンを[トヨタに就職したと]山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'
(8c)	[Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] John-o Yamada-sensei-ga omoikondeita(koto) [トヨタに就職したと]ジョンを山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと) Intended: 'Prof. Yamada had believed that John got a job at Toyota.'

➤ The examples in CFJ-32 above are repeated below, with gloss and translations.

- (1) 警察は[ジョンが中国に逃げたと]断定した。
 keisatu-wa [John-ga tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] danteisita.
 police-TOP [John-NOM China-to escaped that] determined
 'The police determined that John had escaped to China.'
- (2) a. 中国に警察は[ジョンが逃げたと]断定した。
 tyuugoku-ni keisatu-wa [John-ga nigeta to] determined.
 China-to police-TOP [John-NOM escaped that] presumed
 b. [ジョンが中国に逃げたと]警察は断定した。
 [John-ga tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] keisatu-wa danteisita.
 [John-NOM China-to escaped that] police-TOP determined
 c. [ジョンが逃げたと]中国に警察は断定した。
 [John-ga nigeta to] tyuugoku-ni keisatu-wa danteisita.
 [John-NOM escaped that] China-to police-TOP determined
- (3) 警察はジョンを中国に逃げたと断定した。
 keisatu-wa John-o tyuugoku-ni nigeta to danteisita.
 police-TOP John-ACC China-to escaped that determined
 'The police determined about John that he had escaped to China.'
- (4) a. ジョンを警察は[中国に逃げたと]断定した。
 John-o keisatu-wa [tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] danteisita.
 John-ACC police-TOP [China-to escaped that] determined
 b. ジョンを[中国に逃げたと]警察は断定した。
 John-o [tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] keisatu-wa danteisita.
 John-ACC [China-to escaped that] police-TOP determined
 c. [中国に逃げたと]ジョンを警察は断定した。
 [tyuugoku-ni nigeta to] John-o keisatu-wa danteisita.
 [China-to escaped that] John-ACC police-TOP determined
- (5) 山田先生が[ジョンがトヨタに就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと)
 Yamada sensei-ga [John-ga Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita (koto)
 Yamada professor-NOM [John-NOM Toyota-at got:job that] believed (that)
 '(that) Prof. Yamada believed that John had gotten a job at Toyota'
- (6) a. トヨタに山田先生が[ジョンが就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと)
 Toyota-ni Yamada sensei-ga [John-ga syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita (koto)¹
 Toyota-at Yamada professor-NOM [John-NOM got:job that] believed (that)
 b. [ジョンがトヨタに就職したと]山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと)
 [John-ga Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] Yamada sensei-ga omoikondeita (koto)
 [John-NOM Toyota-at got:job that] Yamada professor-NOM believed (that)
 c. [ジョンが就職したと]トヨタに山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと)
 [John-ga syuusyokusita to] Toyota-ni Yamada sensei-ga omoikondeita (koto)
 [John-NOM got:job that] Toyota-at Yamada professor-NOM believed (that)
- (7) 山田先生がジョンをトヨタに就職したと思い込んでいた(こと)
 Yamada sensei-ga John-o Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to omoikondeita (koto)
 Yamada professor-NOM John-ACC Toyota-at got:job that believed (that)
 '(that) Prof. Yamada believed of John that he got a job at Toyota'
- (8) a. ジョンを山田先生が[トヨタに就職したと]思い込んでいた(こと)
 John-o Yamada sensei-ga [Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] omoikondeita (koto)
 John-ACC Yamada professor-NOM [Toyota-at got:job that] believed (that)
 b. ジョンを[トヨタに就職したと]山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと)
 John-o [Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] Yamada sensei-ga omoikondeita (koto)
 John-ACC [Toyota-at got:job that] Yamada professor-NOM believed (that)
 c. [トヨタに就職したと]ジョンを山田先生が思い込んでいた(こと)

¹ (8)-(12) have the same 'basic meaning' as (7).

[Toyota-ni syuusyokusita to] John-o Yamada sensei-ga omoikondeita (koto)
 [Toyota-at got:job that] John-ACC Yamada professor-NOM believed (that)

CFJ-32-B: The results [without adjustments]

Predicted value				-2				-2
	(1)	(2a)	(2b)	(2c)	(3)	(4a)	(4b)	(4c)
Average	+2.00	+0.67	+2.00	-1.56	+1.00	+1.11	+0.56	+0.28
KM0001	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	2
MT0002	2	1	2	-2	2	1	1	-1
NM0003	2	-1	2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-2
MK0004	2	1	2	-1	0	1	0	2
MI0005	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	0
SK0006	2	2	2	-2	-1	0	-2	-1
KK0007	2	-1	2	1	1	2	1	2
MM0008	2	-1	2	-2	-1	2	1	1
YK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	2
YT0010	2	-2	2	-1	2	-1	-1	0
YT0011	2	2	2	-2	1	2	1	1
YM0012	2	0	2	-2	2	2	1	0
KK0013	2	1	2	-2	2	2	2	1
AU0014	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	1
YO0015	2	1	2	-2	-1	0	-1	-1
DT0016	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
AT0017	2	-2	2	-2	0	-1	-2	-2
EM0018	2	1	2	-2	2	2	2	1

Predicted value				-2				-2
	(5)	(6a)	(6b)	(6c)	(7)	(8a)	(8b)	(8c)
Average	+1.56	+0.50	+1.94	-1.61	+0.56	+1.06	+0.89	-0.06
KM0001	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1
MT0002	2	1	2	-2	-1	1	1	-1
NM0003	1	-1	2	-2	-2	1	1	-1
MK0004	2	-1	2	-1	-2	-1	0	0
MI0005	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	0
SK0006	1	0	2	-2	-2	-1	-2	-1
KK0007	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
MM0008	2	0	2	-2	-1	0	0	-1
YK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	1	-1
YT0010	0	-2	1	-2	1	1	1	1
YT0011	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
YM0012	2	1	2	-2	2	2	1	0
KK0013	2	1	2	-2	2	2	2	0
AU0014	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	1
YO0015	1	-2	2	-2	-2	-1	-2	0
DT0016	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
AT0017	0	-2	2	-2	0	0	0	0
EM0018	2	1	2	-2	2	2	2	1

CFJ-32-C: The results [with adjustments]

adjustment conditions:

- [1] If [the answer of (2a)] < 2, then [the answer of (2a)] and [the answer of (2c)] will be excluded from the average.
- [2] If [the answer of (6a)] < 2, then [the answer of (6a)] and [the answer of (6c)] will be excluded from the average.
- [3] If [the answer of (4a)] < 2, then [the answer of (4a)] and [the answer of (4c)] will be excluded from the average.
- [4] If [the answer of (8a)] < 2, then [the answer of (8a)] and [the answer of (8c)] will be excluded from the average.

Predicted value				-2				-2
	(1)	(2a)	(2b)	(2c)	(3)	(4a)	(4b)	(4c)
Average	+2.00	+2.00	+2.00	-1.57	+1.00	+2.00	+0.56	+0.80
KM0001	2	2	2	1	2		1	
MT0002	2		2		2		1	
NM0003	2		2		-1		-2	
MK0004	2		2		0		0	
MI0005	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	0
SK0006	2	2	2	-2	-1		-2	
KK0007	2		2		1	2	1	2
MM0008	2		2		-1	2	1	1
YK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	2
YT0010	2		2		2		-1	
YT0011	2	2	2	-2	1	2	1	1
YM0012	2		2		2	2	1	0
KK0013	2		2		2	2	2	1
AU0014	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	1
YO0015	2		2		-1		-1	
DT0016	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
AT0017	2		2		0		-2	
EM0018	2		2		2	2	2	1

Predicted value				-2				-2
	(5)	(6a)	(6b)	(6c)	(7)	(8a)	(8b)	(8c)
Average	+1.56	+2.00	+1.94	-1.50	+0.56	+2.00	+0.89	+0.00
KM0001	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1
MT0002	2		2		-1		1	
NM0003	1		2		-2		1	
MK0004	2		2		-2		0	
MI0005	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	0
SK0006	1		2		-2		-2	
KK0007	1		2		1		1	
MM0008	2		2		-1		0	
YK0009	2	2	2	-2	2	2	1	-1
YT0010	0		1		1		1	
YT0011	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
YM0012	2		2		2	2	1	0
KK0013	2		2		2	2	2	0
AU0014	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	1
YO0015	1		2		-2		-2	
DT0016	2	2	2	-2	2	2	2	-1
AT0017	0		2		0		0	
EM0018	2		2		2	2	2	1

CFJ-32-D: Comments

MT0002	[] があるので文が読みやすいのですが、それがもしなかったら、「悪い」の方に判定する文が増えるのではという気がしました。三浦さんにはそのような括弧はなかったのですが、これって、故意に入れてあるんですよね？（単なる感想ですので、深いギモンではありません。）
SK0006	ふふふ、なんか混乱してきて一貫性のない答えになってしまったあ。でも後はふりかえらないよーん。
MM0008	Indented って Intended ってこと？
YT0010	いったい何の役にたつんですか？
YT0011	(6)と(12)に差があるかどうかはあまり自信がありませんが。なぜか(12)の「ジョンを」の方が major object に取りにくいようです。
KK0013	(12)は「判断がつかない」のではなく、1と-1の中間レベルの悪さという意味で、0にしました。
AU0014	この CFJ は、とてもきれいに出来ているという印象を持ちました。（必ずしも結論は知りませんが、無関係の factor で左右されていなさそう、という印象を持った、という意味です。）
YO0015	先生にはまじめに就職したと思わせておきながら、中国に逃げる前に John はいったいどんな悪いことをしていたのだろうか...

Korean/Japanese Syntax and Semantics Workshop
2/21-22/2005
Kyoto University

CFJ-30 (Indeterminate agreement: the c-command requirement and reconstruction effects) by Maki Irie
Contact hoji@usc.edu for the results of CFJ-30.

CFJ-31 (PBC violations in Korean) by Seonkyung Jeon

CFJ-31-A: The questionnaire sheet

	How acceptable are the following sentences? 체크해 주셔서 감사합니다.
1a	한국에서 제일 멋있는 배우라고 나는 배용준에 대해 생각한다. hankwuk-eyse ceuil mesiss-nun paywula-ko na-nun Bae Yong Joon-eythayhay sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think of/regarding BYJ that he is the most handsome actor in Korea.'
1b	한국에서 제일 멋있는 배우라고 나는 배용준을 생각한다. hankwuk-eyse ceuil mesiss-nun paywula-ko na-nun Bae Yong Joon-ul sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think BYJ is the most handsome actor in Korea.'
2a	아주 친절하고 상냥한 사람이라고 나는 배용준에 대해 생각한다. acwu chincelhako sangnyangha-n salam-ila-ko na-nun Bae Yong Joon-eytayhay sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think of/regarding BYJ that he is a very kind and warm-hearted person.'
2b	아주 친절하고 상냥한 사람이라고 나는 배용준을 생각한다. acwu chincelhako sangnyangha-n salam-ila-ko na-nun Bae Yong Joon-ul sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think that BYJ is a very kind and warm-hearted person.'
3a	지금도 여전히 예쁘다고 나는 고현정에 대해 생각한다. cikumto yecenhi yepputa-ko Na-nun Ko Hyun Jung-eytayhay sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think of/regarding KHY that she is still pretty now.'
3b	지금도 여전히 예쁘다고 나는 고현정을 생각한다. cikumto yecenhi yepputa-ko Na-nun Ko Hyun Jung-ul sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think that KHY is still pretty now.'
4a	우리들 중에서 제일 솔직하다고 나는 존에 대해 생각한다. wulitul cwungeyse ceuil solcikhata-ko Na-nun John-eytayhay sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think of/regarding John that he is most honest among us.'
4b	우리들 중에서 제일 솔직하다고 나는 존을 생각한다. wulitul cwungeyse ceuil solcikhata-ko Na-nun John-ul sayngkakha-n-ta. 'I think that John is most honest among us.'
5a	요즘에 보기 드문 미인이라고 철수는 수미에 대해 생각한다. yocumye poki tumwun miiln-ila-ko Chelswu-nun Swumi-eytayhay saynkakha-n-ta. 'Chelswu thinks of/regarding Swumi that she is the rare beauty these days.'
5b	요즘에 보기 드문 미인이라고 철수는 수미를 생각한다. yocumye poki tumwun miiln-ila-ko Chelswu-nun Swumi-lul saynkakha-n-ta. 'Chelswu thinks that Swumi is the rare beauty these days.'
6a	나는 피터가 메리에게 청혼했다고 생각했다. na-nun Peter-ka Mary-eykey chenghohay-ss-ta-ko sayngkakhay-ss-ta 'I thought that Peter proposed marriage to Mary.'
6b	메리에게, 나는 피터가 청혼했다고 생각했다. Mary-eykey na-nun Peter-ka chenghohay-ss-ta-ko sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Peter proposed marriage to Mary.'
6c	피터가 메리에게 청혼했다고 나는 생각했다. Peter-ka Mary-eykey chenghohay-ss-ta-ko na-nun sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Peter proposed marriage to Mary.'
6d	피터가 청혼했다고, 메리에게, 나는 생각했다. Peter-ka chenghohayssta-ko Mary-eykkey na-nun sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Peter proposed marriage to Mary.'
6e	피터가 청혼했다고, 나는, 메리에게 생각했다. Peter-ka chenghohayssta-ko na-nun Mary-eykkey sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Peter proposed marriage to Mary.'
7a	(considering Sooni's accent) 나는 순이가 중국에서 왔다고 생각했다. Na-nun Sooni-ka cwungkwuk-eyse oa-ss-ta-ko sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Sooni came from China.'

7b	(considering Sooni's accent) 중국에서, 나는 순이가 왔다고 생각했다. cwungkwuk-eyse na-nun Soomo-ka oa-ss-ta-ko sayngkakhay-ss-ta 'I thought that Sooni came from China.'
7c	(considering Sooni's accent) 순이가 중국에서 왔다고 나는 생각했다. Sooni-ka cwungkwuk-eyse oa-ss-ta-ko na-nun sayngkakhay-ss-ta. 'I thought that Sooni came from China.'
7d	(considering Sooni's accent) 순이가 왔다고, 중국에서, 나는 생각했다. Soomo-ka oa-ss-ta-ko cwungkwuk-eyse na-nun sayngkakhay-ss-ta 'I thought that Sooni came from China.'
7e	(considering Sooni's accent) 순이가 왔다고, 나는, 중국에서 생각했다. Soomo-ka oa-ss-ta-ko na-nun cwungkwuk-eyse sayngkakhay-ss-ta 'I thought that Sooni came from China.'

CFJ-31-B: The results [without adjustments]

Predicted value		-2		-2		-2		-2		-2
	1a	1b	2a	2b	3a	3b	4a	4b	5a	5b
Average	-0.31	-0.23	-0.38	-0.23	-0.15	-0.38	-0.31	-0.38	-0.31	-0.31
J.h. P.	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
J.y. L.	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1
S. K. L.	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
D. H. K.	-1	0	-1	0	-1	0	-1	0	-1	0
T. Y.	1	-1	0	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
H. B.	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Ch. Ch.K.	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1
l.j.s.	0	-1	0	-1	0	-1	0	-1	1	0
l. e. j.	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	1
J. Es.	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2
y. j. y.	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
S.y. P.	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1
E.j.	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2	-1	-2

Predicted value				-2	-2				-2	-2
	6a	6b	6c	6d	6e	7a	7b	7c	7d	7e
Average	+1.50	+0.17	+1.33	-1.33	-1.92	+1.83	-0.17	+1.33	-1.67	-2.00
J.h. P.	2	0	2	-2	-2	2	0	2	-2	-2
J.y. L.	0	-1	0	0	-2	2	-1	2	-1	-2
S. K. L.	2	0	2	-2	-2	2	0	2	-2	-2
D. H. K.	2	-1	1	-2	-2	2	-1	1	-2	-2
T. Y.										
H. B.	2	2	2	-2	-2	2	1	2	-2	-2
Ch. Ch.K.	2	-1	1	-2	-2	2	-1	1	-2	-2
l.j.s.	0	1	1	0	-1	1	-1	1	-2	-2
l. e. j.	2	-2	2	-2	-2	2	-2	0	-2	-2
J. Es.	0	-1	-1	-2	-2	1	-2	-1	-2	-2
y. j. y.	2	2	2	0	-2	2	2	2	0	-2
S.y. P.	2	2	2	-1	-2	2	2	2	-1	-2
E.j.	2	1	2	-1	-2	2	1	2	-2	-2

No adjustment condition is set.
No comments are reproduced here.

CFJ-33 (PBC violation-like effects in non-RTO constructions) by Hyuna Byun

CFJ-33-A: The questionnaire sheet

	How acceptable do you find the following examples? Please choose among the following numbers, (out)-2, -1, 0, 1, 2(perfect), representing the acceptability of each sentence, according to your own judgment.
1a	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unilako sayngkakhaytt'a.(I think he is a swindler)
1b	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unilako tancungcieott'a. (I concluded that he was a swindler)
1c	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unilako ohayhaytt'a (I mistook him for a swindler)
1d	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unilako puleott'a (I called him a swindler)
2a	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unuro sayngkakhaytt'a.(I think he is a swindler)
2b	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unuro tancungcieott'a. .(I concluded that he was a swindler)
2c	Nanun Chulsulul sagik'unuro ohayhaytt'a(I mistook him for a swindler)
3a	Nanun sagik'unilako Chulsulul sayngkakhaytt'a.(I think he is a swindler)
3b	Nanun sagik'unilako Chulsulul tancungcieott'a. (I concluded that he was a swindler)
3c	Nanun sagik'unilako Chulsulul ohayhaytt'a (I mistook him for a swindler)
3d	Nanun sagik'unilako Chulsulul puleott'a (I called him a swindler)
4a	sagik'unilako Nanun Chulsulul sayngkakhaytt'a.(I think he is a swindler)
4b	sagik'unilako Nanun Chulsulul tancungcieott'a. (I concluded that he was a swindler)
4c	sagik'unilako Nanun Chulsulul ohayhaytt'a (I mistook him for a swindler)
4d	sagik'unilako Nanun Chulsulul puleott'a (I called him a swindler)
5a	sagik'unuro Nanun Chulsulul sayngkakhaytt'a.(I think he is a swindler)
5b	sagik'unuro Nanun Chulsulul tancungcieott'a. (I concluded that he was a swindler)
5c	sagik'unuro Nanun Chulsulul ohayhaytt'a (I mistook him for a swindler)

CFJ-33-B: The results [without adjustments]

	1a	1b	1c	1d	2a	2b	2c	3a	3b	3c	3d	4a	4b	4c	4d	5a	5b	5c
Average	+1.67	+1.27	+1.40	+1.80	+1.60	+1.40	+1.73	-0.73	-0.40	-0.20	-0.53	-0.80	-0.47	-0.20	-0.60	-0.40	+0.07	-0.07
Y.j. Ch.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
S.k. J.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
W. K.	2	0	1	2	2	2	2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	1	1	-1
H. M.	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
Y.E. K.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1
Y.h. Sh.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
S.y. P.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
J.h. J.	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	-1	0	1	-2	-1	0	2	-2	1	2	2
M.s. K.	2	-2	1	2	2	-2	2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	-2	-1	0
S.H. N.	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	-1	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	2	2	2
J.h. L.	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	-1	-1	0	-1	-2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2
s.b. B.	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2
H.j. K.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1	-1
y.h. K.	-1	-1	-1	2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1
K.-R. H.	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	2	2	2

No adjustment condition is set.

No comments are reproduced here.

A Supplementary Handout on the CFJs
Hajime Hoji
USC

CFJ-16 (Major Object and rokuna NP)	1
CFJ-16-A: The questionnaire sheet.....	1
CFJ-16-B: The results [without adjustments]	3
CFJ-16-C: The results [with adjustments]	4
CFJ-16-D: Comments	5
CFJ-40 (Major Object and NP-cm-sika)	6
CFJ-40-A: The questionnaire sheet.....	6
CFJ-40-B: The results [without adjustments]	9
CFJ-40-C: The results [with adjustments]	10
CFJ-40-D: Comments	11
CFJ-32 (Are PBC effects in the RTO constructions real?) by Yukiko Tsuboi	12
CFJ-32-A: The questionnaire sheet.....	12
CFJ-32-B: The results [without adjustments]	14
CFJ-32-C: The results [with adjustments]	15
CFJ-32-D: Comments	16
CFJ-30 (Indeterminate agreement: the c-command requirement and reconstruction effects) by Maki Irie	17
CFJ-31 (PBC violations in Korean) by Seonkyung Jeon	18
CFJ-31-A: The questionnaire sheet.....	18
CFJ-31-B: The results [without adjustments]	19
CFJ-33 (PBC violation-like effects in non-RTO constructions) by Hyuna Byun	20
CFJ-33-A: The questionnaire sheet.....	20
CFJ-33-B: The results [without adjustments]	20